



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,123	10/14/2003	Jayshree Seth	58659US002	7617
32692	7590	02/14/2008	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				COLE, ELIZABETH M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/14/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com
LegalDocketing@mmm.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10686123	10/14/03	SETH ET AL.	58659US002

EXAMINER

Elizabeth M.. Cole

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1794 20080207

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

In response to the remand from the Board, the response after final filed 11/17/06 will be entered. It is noted that the response was fully considered but did not put the application in condition for allowance and that therefore it was indicated as not entered, but since it was fully considered, and since there were no amendments to the claims, the response of 11/17/07 will be indicated as ok to enter. A copy of the annotated response after final is included with this letter. The request for reconsideration of 11/17/07 was not persuasive for the reasons of record and for the reasons set forth in the advisory action mailed 12/8/06. No amendments to the claims were proposed in the response of 11/17/06 and therefore the entry of the response after final will not change the claims.

/Elizabeth M. Cole/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794